# Covering the Convex Quadrilaterals of Point Sets 

Toshinori Sakai ${ }^{1}$, Jorge Urrutia ${ }^{2 *}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Research Institute of Educational Development, Tokai University, 2-28-4 Tomigaya, Shibuyaku, Tokyo 151-8677, Japan<br>${ }^{2}$ Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México D.F. C.P. 04510


#### Abstract

For a point set $P$ on the plane, a four element subset $S \subset P$ is called a 4-hole of $P$ if the convex hull of $S$ is a quadrilateral and contains no point of $P$ in its interior. Let $R$ be a point set on the plane. We say that a point set $B$ covers all the 4 -holes of $R$ if any 4 -hole of $R$ contains an element of $B$ in its interior. We show that if $|R| \geq 2|B|+5$ then $B$ cannot cover all the 4 -holes of $R$. A similar result is shown for a point set $R$ in convex position. We also show a point set $R$ for which any point set $B$ that covers all the 4 -holes of $R$ has approximately $2|R|$ points.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $P$ denotes a point set on the plane. $P$ is said to be in general position if no three of its elements lie on a line. We denote by $\operatorname{Conv}(P)$ the convex hull of $P$, and we say that $P$ is in convex position if $P$ is in general position and all of its elements lie on the boundary of $\operatorname{Conv}(P)$.

For an integer $k \geq 3$ and any point set $P$ on the plane, a $k$-subset $S$ of $P$ is called a $k$-hole of $P$ if $S$ is in convex position and no element of $P$ lies in the interior of $\operatorname{Conv}(S)$. A $k$-hole is often identified with its convex hull. In 1931, Esther Klein proved that any point set $P$ in general position with at least 5 elements contains a 4 -subset in convex position $[4,6]$. It is easy to see that it also contains a 4 -hole.

We say that $P$ is a bicolored point set if $P$ is the union of disjoint point sets $R$ and $B$. Call the elements of $R$ and $B$ the red and blue points of $P$ respectively. A monochromatic 4 -hole of $P$ is a 4 -hole of $P$ such that all its elements are either in $R$ or in $B$. In [2], O. Devillers et al. showed many results on $k$-holes of $m$-colored point sets, $k \geq 3, m \geq 2$. Concerning monochromatic 4 -holes of a bicolored point set, they conjecture:

Conjecture A. Let $P$ be a bicolored point set in general position consisting of a sufficiently large number of points. Then $P$ contains a monochromatic 4-hole.

For a 4 -hole $S$ of a red point set $R$ and a blue point $b \in B$, we say that $b$ covers $S$ if $\operatorname{Conv}(S)$ contains $b$ in its interior. Furthermore, we say that $B$ covers all the 4 -holes

[^0]of $R$ if each 4-hole of $R$ is covered with a point of $B$. In this paper, we will study the following question: Given a red point set $R$, how many points must a blue point set $B$ have so that it covers all the 4 -holes of $R$ ? Our objective here is to continue the study of a similar problem concerning coverings of 3 -holes of point sets. The problem of coverings of 3-holes has been studied by M. Katchalski et al.[5] and independently by J. Czyzowicz et al.[1]. They proved:

Theorem A. For any red point set $R$ in general position, $2|R|-K-2$ blue points are necessary and sufficient to cover all 3 -holes of $R$, where $K$ denotes the number of points of $R$ on the boundary of $\operatorname{Conv}(R)$.
Observe that any triangulation of $R$ (in the language of this paper, a set of 3-holes of $R$ with disjoint interiors such that their union is $\operatorname{Conv}(R)$ ) contains exactly $2|R|-K-2$ triangles. Thus it follows that if a point set $B$ of $2|R|-K-2$ blue points covers all the triangles of $R$, then each 3-hole of $R$ contains exactly one element of $B$.

In Section 2 we prove the following results:
Theorem 1. Let $P=R \cup B$ be a bicolored point set such that $R$ is in general position. Then if $|R| \geq 2|B|+5, P$ contains a red 4 -hole.
(The inequality of Theorem 1 is sharp when $|B|=0$ and $|B|=1$.) If $R$ is in convex position, we obtain a better bound.

Theorem 2. Let $P=R \cup B$ be a bicolored point set such that $R$ is in convex position. Then if $|R| \geq \frac{3}{2}|B|+4, P$ contains a red 4-hole.

For a red point set $R$, let $\beta(R)$ denote the minimum number of blue points that cover all 4-holes of $R$. From Theorems 1 and 2, it follows that $\beta(R)>\frac{|R|-5}{2}$ for any red point set $R$ in general position, and $\beta(R)>\frac{2|R|-8}{3}$ for any red point set $R$ in convex position. In Section 4 we prove:

Theorem 3. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then

$$
\max _{\substack{|R|=n \\ R \text { is in convex position }}} \beta(R)=n+o(n) .
$$

For a positive integer $n$, let

$$
\beta_{n}=\max _{|R|=n} \beta(R)
$$

It follows from Theorem A that $\beta_{n} \leq 2 n-5$ (it is not difficult to show $\beta_{n} \leq 2 n-6$ for the problem of covering red 4-holes). In Section 4, we also show that there exist red point sets $R$ for which approximately $2|R|$ blue points are needed to cover all 4-holes of $R$ :

Theorem 4. $\beta_{n}=2 n+o(n)$.

## 2. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

For a point set $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}\right\}$, we denote by $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{m}$ the polygon whose vertices in the clockwise are $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}$.


Fig. 1. Labeling of the points and two convex quadrilaterals with disjoint interiors.

### 2.1. Proof of Theorem 1

First note that $B$ covers every red 4 -hole if and only if so does a blue point set obtained by any slight perturbation of points in $B$. Thus it suffices to prove Theorem 1 for the case where $P=R \cup B$ is in general position.

We proceed by induction on $|B|$. The result is true for the case where $|B|=0$ (the Esther Klein's Theorem mentioned above). Next we show the result for the case where $|B|=1$. For this purpose, it suffices to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 1. Any point set $R$ with exactly seven elements in general position contains the vertices of two convex quadrilaterals with disjoint interiors.

Proof. Choose the leftmost vertex on the convex hull of $R$, assuming without loss of generality that this point is unique, and let it be labeled $p_{0}$. Label the elements of $R-\left\{p_{0}\right\}$ by $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{6}$ in descending order according to the slope of the segments joining $p_{i}$ to $p_{0}$, $i=1, \ldots 6$; see Fig. 1(a). For each $i=2, \ldots, 5$, assign the signature + or - to $p_{i}$ according to whether the inner angle at $p_{i}$ of the quadrilateral $p_{0} p_{i-1} p_{i} p_{i+1}$ is greater than or less than $180^{\circ}$.

If the sequence of signatures assigned to $p_{2}, \ldots, p_{5}$ contains two non-consecutive minus signs, then our result follows (Fig. 1(b)). Our result also follows if the sequence contains a minus sign and consecutive plus signs, see Fig. 1(c). The remaining cases to be analyzed, are for the sequences +--+ or ++++ . For the latter case, $p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} p_{4}$ and $p_{1} p_{4} p_{5} p_{6}$ are convex quadrilaterals with disjoint interiors.

Assume then that our sequence is +--+ . Let $l$ denote the straight line connecting $p_{2}$ and $p_{5}$. If at least one of $p_{1}$ or $p_{6}$ is in the same side of $l$ as $p_{0}$, then $p_{2} p_{3} p_{4} p_{5}$ and at least one of $p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{5}$ or $p_{0} p_{2} p_{5} p_{6}$ are convex quadrilaterals with disjoint interiors. Thus assume that both of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are in the opposite side of $l$ to that containing $p_{0}$. Let $m$ denote the straight line connecting $p_{3}$ and $p_{4}$, and $D$ the half-plane bounded by $m$ and containing $p_{2}$ and $p_{5}$. If $p_{1} \in D$ (resp. $p_{6} \in D$ ), then $p_{1} p_{2} p_{4} p_{3}$ and $p_{0} p_{2} p_{4} p_{5}$ (resp. $p_{3} p_{5} p_{6} p_{4}$ and $p_{0} p_{2} p_{3} p_{5}$ ) are convex quadrilaterals with disjoint interiors. Assume next that $p_{1} \notin D$ and $p_{6} \notin D$. In this case, $p_{1} p_{3} p_{4} p_{6}$ and $p_{2} p_{3} p_{4} p_{5}$ are convex quadrilaterals with disjoint interiors.

Returning to the proof of Theorem 1, we consider the case where there are at least two blue points. Let $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ be consecutive vertices of the boundary of $\operatorname{Conv}(B)$, and let $D$ denote a half-plane bounded by the straight line $p p^{\prime}$, and containing no element of $B-\left\{p, p^{\prime}\right\}$. If $D$ contains at least five red points, then the result follows from the Esther Klein's Theorem. Thus we may assume that $D$ contains at most four red points. Then if


Fig. 2. $p_{i}$ and $p_{i}^{\prime}$.


Fig. 3. Red point set $R=R(k, \varepsilon, n)$.
we write $D^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}^{2}-D,\left|R \cap D^{\prime}\right| \geq|R|-4 \geq 2(|B|-2)+5=2\left|B \cap D^{\prime}\right|+5$, and the desired conclusion follows from the induction hypothesis.

### 2.2. Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1. In place of Proposition 1, we use the fact that any point set $R$ with $|R|=6$ in convex position contains two convex quadrilaterals with disjoint interiors.

## 3. Point set $R(k, \varepsilon, n)$ and Theorem 5

### 3.1. Point set $R(k, \varepsilon, n)$

Let $k \geq 3$ be an odd integer and $n$ an integer, and consider a regular $k$-gon $P_{0}=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k}$ inscribed in a unit circle. Rotate each point $p_{i}$ by a sufficiently small angle $\varepsilon$ around the center of $P_{0}$ to obtain a point $p_{i}^{\prime}$ (Fig. 2). We may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{1} p_{k}^{\prime}}{p_{1} p_{1}^{\prime}}>k n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular (we use this inequality in the proof of Lemma 1). Furthermore, since no three diagonals of $P_{0}$ meet at a point (see [3]), we may assume that no three quadrilaterals $p_{i_{1}} p_{i_{1}}^{\prime} p_{j_{1}} p_{j_{1}}^{\prime}, p_{i_{2}} p_{i_{2}}^{\prime} p_{j_{2}} p_{j_{2}}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i_{3}} p_{i_{3}}^{\prime} p_{j_{3}} p_{j_{3}}^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}\right.$ are all different) have a common point.

Take $n$ red points $r_{i, 1}=p_{i}, r_{i, 2}, \ldots, r_{i, n-1}, r_{i, n}=p_{i}^{\prime}$ at regular intervals on segment $p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime}$ (Fig. 3). Set $R_{i}=\left\{r_{i, 1}, r_{i, 2}, \ldots, r_{i, n}\right\}$ and define the set $R=R(k, \varepsilon, n)$ consisting of $k n$ red points by $R=R(k, \varepsilon, n)=\cup_{i=1}^{k} R_{i}$.

### 3.2. Theorem 5

To prove Theorems 3 and 4, we show the following result:
Theorem 5. Let $k$ and $n$ be positive integers. Then

$$
\frac{\beta(R(k, \varepsilon, n))}{|R(k, \varepsilon, n)|} \rightarrow 1 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { and } \frac{k}{n} \rightarrow \infty
$$



Fig. 4. •: red point, ○: blue point.


Fig. 5. $D_{i}$ and $E_{i, j}$.

Observe that for a red point set $R$ in convex position, if we place $|R|-1$ blue points inside the convex hull of $R$ (shown in Fig. 4 as small empty circles) all the 4 -holes of $R$ are covered. From a similar observation, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(R(k, \varepsilon, n)) \leq k n-1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3. Proof of Theorem 5

We will prove that

$$
\frac{\beta(R(k, \varepsilon, n))}{k n} \rightarrow 1 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { and } \frac{k}{n} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Define $D_{i}$ and $E_{i, j}\left(=E_{j, i}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{i} & =\bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq l<m \leq k \\
l \neq i, m \neq i}}\left(p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime} p_{l} p_{l}^{\prime} \cap p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime} p_{m} p_{m}^{\prime}\right) \\
E_{i, j} & =p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime} p_{j} p_{j}^{\prime}-\left(D_{i} \cup D_{j}\right), \quad \text { where } i \neq j \text {; see Fig. } 5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1. The intersection of quadrilaterals $r_{i, m} r_{i, m+1} r_{j, l} r_{j, l+1}$ and $r_{i, m^{\prime}} r_{i, m^{\prime}+1} r_{j, l^{\prime}} r_{j, l^{\prime}+1}$ is contained in $E_{i, j}$ for any $i, j, m, m^{\prime}, l, l^{\prime}, 1 \leq i<j \leq k, 2 \leq m+1<m^{\prime} \leq n-1$ and $2 \leq l+1<l^{\prime} \leq n-1$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where $p_{i} p_{j}^{\prime}<p_{i}^{\prime} p_{j}$ (Fig. 6). Take any point $x$ in the intersection of quadrilaterals $r_{i, m} r_{i, m+1} r_{j, l} r_{j, l+1}$ and $r_{i, m^{\prime}} r_{i, m^{\prime}+1} r_{j, l^{\prime}} r_{j, l^{\prime}+1}$. For this $x$, we can take points $p, q, p^{\prime}$ and $q^{\prime}$ such that they are on the segments $r_{i, m} r_{i, m+1}, r_{j, l} r_{j, l+1}, r_{i, m^{\prime}} r_{i, m^{\prime}+1}$ and $r_{j, l^{\prime}} r_{j, l^{\prime}+1}$, respectively, and the intersection point of the segments $p q$ and $p^{\prime} q^{\prime}$ is $x$. Take the point $r$ such that $\overrightarrow{q^{\prime} r}=\overrightarrow{p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime}}$, and let $y$ be the intersection point of $p r$ and $p^{\prime} q^{\prime}$. Then we have $p^{\prime} x>p^{\prime} y$ and $\frac{p^{\prime} y}{q^{\prime} y}=\frac{p p^{\prime}}{r q^{\prime}} \geq \frac{1}{n-1}$, and hence

$$
p^{\prime} x>\frac{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}{n}>\frac{p_{i} p_{j}^{\prime}}{n} \geq \frac{p_{1} p_{k}^{\prime}}{n} .
$$

On the other hand, since the convex hull of $D_{i}$ is a regular $k$-gon which has $p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime}$ as one of its sides (Fig. 7), the diameter of $D_{i}$ is less than $k p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime}$, which is the perimeter of the regular $k$-gon. Since $k p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime}<\frac{p_{1} p_{k}^{\prime}}{n}$ by (1), $x \notin D_{i}$, as desired.


Fig. 6. A point $x$ in the intersection and the point $y$.


Fig. 7. $D_{i}$ and its convex hull.

Let $B$ be a set of blue points of minimum cardinality which cover all the 4-holes of $R$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $E_{i}=\cup_{j \neq i} E_{i, j}$. Then two cases arise:
Case 1. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, either $\left|D_{i} \cap B\right|>n-\sqrt{n}$ or $\left|E_{i} \cap B\right|>4(n-\sqrt{n})$.
Case 2. There exists $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $\left|D_{i} \cap B\right| \leq n-\sqrt{n}$ and $\left|E_{i} \cap B\right| \leq 4(n-\sqrt{n})$.

Case 1. Let $I=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\left\{i| | D_{i} \cap B \mid>n-\sqrt{n}, 1 \leq i \leq k\right\} \quad \text { and } \\
& I_{2}=I-I_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left|E_{i} \cap B\right|>4(n-\sqrt{n}) \quad \text { for each } i \in I_{2}
$$

Since any point $b \in \cup_{1 \leq i \leq k}\left(E_{i} \cap B\right)$ is contained in at most four $E_{i}$ 's, we have $\mid \cup_{i \in I_{2}}\left(E_{i} \cap\right.$ $B) \left.\left|\geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in I_{2}}\right| E_{i} \cap B \right\rvert\,$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
|B| & \geq\left|\bigcup_{i \in I_{1}}\left(D_{i} \cap B\right)\right|+\left|\bigcup_{i \in I_{2}}\left(E_{i} \cap B\right)\right| \\
& \geq \sum_{i \in I_{1}}\left|D_{i} \cap B\right|+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in I_{2}}\left|E_{i} \cap B\right| \\
& >\left|I_{1}\right|(n-\sqrt{n})+\frac{1}{4}\left(k-\left|I_{1}\right|\right) \cdot 4(n-\sqrt{n}) \\
& =k(n-\sqrt{n})=k(n+o(n)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, together with (2), we obtain the desired conclusion.
Case 2. Take $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $\left|D_{i} \cap B\right| \leq n-\sqrt{n}$ and $\left|E_{i} \cap B\right| \leq 4(n-\sqrt{n})$. From this point on, we fix $i$. Let

$$
J=\left\{j \mid E_{i, j} \cap B=\emptyset, j \neq i\right\}
$$

Since $\left|\left\{j^{\prime} \mid E_{i, j^{\prime}} \cap B \neq \emptyset, j \neq i\right\}\right| \leq\left|E_{i} \cap B\right| \leq 4(n-\sqrt{n})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|J| \geq(k-1)-4(n-\sqrt{n})=k+o(k) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k, n$ with $\frac{k}{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Take any $j \in J$. Since $n-1$ blue points arranged suitably close to the midpoints of segments $r_{j, m} r_{j, m+1}, 1 \leq m \leq n-1$, are sufficient to cover all 4-holes of $R$ containing these segments, it follows from the minimality of $|B|$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{j} \cap B\right| \leq n-1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 8. $m_{2}$ must be greater than or equal to $m_{1}^{\prime}$.
Lemma 2. $\left|D_{j} \cap B\right|=n+o(n)$.
To prove this lemma, we introduce some notation. Let $e_{l}$ be the segment connecting $r_{i, l}$ and $r_{i, l+1}$, and $f_{m}$ be the segment connecting $r_{j, m}$ and $r_{j, m+1}$, where $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. We denote by $\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right]$ the quadrilateral containing $e_{l}$ and $f_{m}$ as its sides.

Let $b \in D_{i} \cap B$. Then it can be easily observed that the set $\mathcal{Q}_{b}$ of quadrilaterals $\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right]$ which are covered with $b$ is expressed in the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_{b}=\left\{\left[e_{l_{1}}, f_{m}\right] \mid m_{1} \leq m \leq m_{1}^{\prime}\right\} & \cup\left\{\left[e_{l_{1}+1}, f_{m}\right] \mid m_{2} \leq m \leq m_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \cup \\
\ldots & \cup\left\{\left[e_{l_{1}+h}, f_{m}\right] \mid m_{h+1} \leq m \leq m_{h+1}^{\prime}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l_{1}$ and $h$ are integers with $1 \leq l_{1} \leq l_{1}+h \leq n-1$, and the $m_{t}$ and the $m_{t}^{\prime}$, $1 \leq t \leq h+1$, are integers with $1 \leq m_{t} \leq m_{t}^{\prime} \leq n-1$.
Lemma 3. $h \in\{0,1\}$; and in the case where $h=1, m_{2} \geq m_{1}^{\prime}$.
Proof. We have $h \in\{0,1\}$ from Lemma 1. Next assume $h=1$. Then since $b \in$ $\operatorname{Int}\left(\left[e_{l_{1}}, f_{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right]\right) \cap \operatorname{Int}\left(\left[e_{l_{1}+1}, f_{m_{2}}\right]\right)$, where $\operatorname{Int}(X)$ denotes the interior of $X$, we must have $\operatorname{Int}\left(\left[e_{l_{1}}, f_{m_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right]\right) \cap \operatorname{Int}\left(\left[e_{l_{1}+1}, f_{m_{2}}\right]\right) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $m_{2} \geq m_{1}^{\prime}$ (Fig. 8).

Consider an $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ table whose $(l, m)$-component corresponds to the quadrilateral $\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right]$. By Lemma 3, each $\mathcal{Q}_{b}, b \in D_{i} \cap B$, is expressed as a set of components as shown in Fig. 9 (the case where $m_{2}=m_{1}^{\prime}$ ). We identify such a set of components with the set $\mathcal{Q}_{b}$. Set

$$
\mathcal{Q}=\bigcup_{b \in D_{i} \cap B} \mathcal{Q}_{b} \quad \text { (Fig. 10). }
$$

For each $b \in D_{i} \cap B$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_{b}$ is expressed in the form of $\mathcal{Q}_{b}=\left\{\left[e_{l_{1}}, f_{m}\right] \mid m_{1} \leq m \leq\right.$ $\left.m_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{\left[e_{l_{1}+1}, f_{m}\right] \mid m_{1}^{\prime} \leq m \leq m_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$, let $U_{b}=\left[e_{l_{1}+1}, f_{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right]$ (Fig. 9), and let $\mathcal{U}$ denote the set of all such $U_{b}$ 's. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{U}| \leq\left|D_{i} \cap B\right| \leq n-\sqrt{n} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $m$ with $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, call the column consisting of $n-1$ components $\left[e_{1}, f_{m}\right],\left[e_{2}, f_{m}\right], \ldots,\left[e_{n-1}, f_{m}\right]$ the column $f_{m}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of columns $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n-1}$, and $\mathcal{F}^{*}(\subseteq \mathcal{F})$ the set of columns $f_{m}$ each of which contains at most $\sqrt[4]{n}$ components corresponding to elements of $\mathcal{U}$. Since we consider the case where $n$ and $k$ are sufficiently large, we may assume $n \geq 7$ in particular.
Lemma 4. Let $f_{m} \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$. Then there is a component $\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right]$ which does not belong to any $\mathcal{Q}_{b}$, i.e., there is a quadrilateral $\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right]$ which is covered with some $b^{\prime} \in D_{j} \cap B$.
Proof. We identify the column $f_{m}$ with the set of components contained in it, i.e., we let $f_{m}=\left\{\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right] \mid 1 \leq l \leq n-1\right\}$. Since

$$
\left|\mathcal{Q} \cap f_{m}\right| \leq\left|D_{i} \cap B\right|+\left|\mathcal{U} \cap f_{m}\right| \leq(n-\sqrt{n})+\sqrt[4]{n}
$$

there are at least $\sqrt{n}-\sqrt[4]{n}-1(>0$ for $n \geq 7)$ components $\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right] \in f_{m}$ which do not belong to any $\mathcal{Q}_{b}$.


Fig. 9. Components corresponding to $\mathcal{Q}_{b}$.


Fig. 10. Components corresponding to $\mathcal{Q}$.

Lemma 5. $\left|\mathcal{F}^{*}\right| \geq n-\sqrt[4]{n^{3}}+\sqrt[4]{n}-1$.
Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that $\left|\mathcal{F}^{*}\right|<n-\sqrt[4]{n^{3}}+\sqrt[4]{n}-1$. Then we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{U}| & >\sqrt[4]{n} \times\left|\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{F}^{*}\right| \\
& >\sqrt[4]{n} \times\left(\sqrt[4]{n^{3}}-\sqrt[4]{n}\right) \\
& =n-\sqrt{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts (5).
Now consider points of $D_{j} \cap B$. For $b^{\prime} \in D_{j} \cap B$, we use the same notation used above: denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{b^{\prime}}$ the set of quadrilaterals $\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right]$ which are covered with $b^{\prime}$. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3, the following lemma follows:

Lemma 6. $\mathcal{Q}_{b^{\prime}}$ is in the form of $\left\{\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right] \mid l_{1} \leq l \leq l_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ or $\left\{\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right] \mid l_{1} \leq l \leq l_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{\left[e_{l}, f_{m+1}\right] \mid l_{2} \leq l \leq l_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$, where $l_{2} \geq l_{1}^{\prime}$ (Fig. 11).

Let $\mathcal{S}\left(\subseteq \mathcal{F}^{*}\right)$ be the set of columns $f_{m} \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$ which satisfy at least one of the following conditions (i), (ii) or (iii):
(i) $m=1$;
(ii) $f_{m-1}$ is not contained in $\mathcal{F}^{*}$;
(iii) no two quadrilaterals $\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right]$ and $\left[e_{l^{\prime}}, f_{m-1}\right]$ are covered with a single point $b^{\prime} \in D_{j} \cap B$.

Let $s=|\mathcal{S}|$ and write $\mathcal{S}=\left\{f_{m_{1}}, f_{m_{2}}, \ldots, f_{m_{s}}\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ is expressed in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{*}=\left\{f_{m_{1}}, f_{m_{1}+1}, \ldots, f_{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right\} \cup & \left\{f_{m_{2}}, f_{m_{2}+1}, \ldots, f_{m_{2}^{\prime}}\right\} \cup \\
& \ldots \cup\left\{f_{m_{s}}, f_{m_{s}+1}, \ldots, f_{m_{s}^{\prime}}\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}=\left\{f_{m_{t}}, f_{m_{t}+1}, \ldots, f_{m_{t}^{\prime}}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq s
$$

(so $\mathcal{F}^{*}=\mathcal{F}_{1}^{*} \cup \mathcal{F}_{2}^{*} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{F}_{s}^{*}$ ), and let $B_{t}$ denote the set of points $b^{\prime} \in D_{j} \cap B$ each of which covers a quadrilateral of $\left\{\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right] \mid 1 \leq l \leq n-1, f_{m} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right\}$. From Lemmas 4,6 and the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}$, the following lemma follows:


Fig. 11. Components corresponding to $\mathcal{Q}_{b^{\prime}}$.


Fig. 12. Components corresponding to $\mathcal{Q}_{b}$ 's.

Lemma 7. $\left|B_{t}\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|-1$ for $1 \leq t \leq s$.
Furthermore, the following holds.
Lemma 8. Let $1 \leq t \leq s$, and suppose $\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right| \leq \sqrt[4]{n}-1$. Then $\left|B_{t}\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|$.
Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose $\left|B_{t}\right| \leq\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|-1$ (so $\left|B_{t}\right|=\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|-1$ by Lemma 7 ). Then it follows from Lemmas 4, 6 and the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}$ again that for each $m$ with $m_{t} \leq m \leq m_{t}^{\prime}-1$, there exists exactly one point $b^{\prime} \in B_{t}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{b^{\prime}}=\left\{\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right] \mid l_{1} \leq l \leq l_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{\left[e_{l}, f_{m+1}\right] \mid l_{2} \leq l \leq l_{2}^{\prime}\right\}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{1}, l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2}, l_{2}^{\prime}$ are some positive integers with $l_{1} \leq l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2} \leq l_{2}^{\prime}$ and $l_{1}^{\prime} \leq l_{2}$; and furthermore, there exists no point $b^{\prime \prime} \in D_{j} \cap B$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_{b^{\prime \prime}}$ is expressed in the form $\left\{\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right] \mid l_{1} \leq l \leq l_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$.

For $b^{\prime} \in B_{t}$ such that $l_{1}^{\prime}=l_{2}$ holds in the expression (7), let $V_{b^{\prime}}=\left[e_{l_{2}}, f_{m+1}\right]$, and
 the (sub)row consisting of $\left[e_{l}, f_{m_{t}}\right],\left[e_{l}, f_{m_{t}+1}\right], \ldots,\left[e_{l}, f_{m_{t}^{\prime}}\right]$, the row $e_{l}$ (a row of the table shown in Fig. 12). Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the set of rows $e_{l}$ containing no element of $\mathcal{V}$. Since $|\mathcal{V}| \leq\left|B_{t}\right| \leq\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|-1$ by assumption, $|\mathcal{R}| \geq(n-1)-|\mathcal{V}| \geq n-\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|$. On the other hand, among $\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|$ components of each row $e_{l} \in \mathcal{R}$, at most $\left|B_{t}\right|\left(\leq\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|-1\right)$ components correspond to quadrilaterals which are covered with points of $B_{t}$. Hence, from each $e_{l} \in \mathcal{R}$, we can take one component $\left[e_{l}, f_{m}\right]$ which is not covered with any point of $B_{t}$ (e.g. each component marked with $*$ in Fig. 12). Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the set of these components. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{W}|=|\mathcal{R}| \geq n-\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right| . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since distinct components of $\mathcal{W}-\mathcal{U}$ must be covered with distinct points of $D_{i} \cap B$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{W}-\mathcal{U}| \leq\left|D_{i} \cap B\right| \leq n-\sqrt{n} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the assumption of Case 2. On the other hand, since the columns $f_{m_{t}}, \ldots, f_{m_{t}^{\prime}}$ belong to $\mathcal{F}^{*}$, it follows from the definition of $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ and (8) that

$$
|\mathcal{W}-\mathcal{U}| \geq\left(n-\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|\right)-\sqrt[4]{n}\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =n-(\sqrt[4]{n}+1)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right| \\
& \geq n-(\sqrt[4]{n}+1)(\sqrt[4]{n}-1) \quad \text { (by the assumption of Lemma } 8) \\
& =n-\sqrt{n}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (9).
Now let $T=\{1,2, \ldots, s\}, T_{1}=\left\{t \in T| | \mathcal{F}_{t}^{*} \mid>\sqrt[4]{n}-1\right\}$ and $T_{2}=T-T_{1}$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{1}\right|<\frac{\left|\mathcal{F}^{*}\right|}{\sqrt[4]{n}-1} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{\sqrt[4]{n}-1} \leq \frac{n-1}{\sqrt[4]{n}-1}=O\left(n^{\frac{3}{4}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $B_{t} \cap B_{t^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ for $1 \leq t<t^{\prime} \leq s$, it follows from Lemmas 7 and 8 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{j} \cap B\right| & \geq \sum_{t \in T_{1}}\left|B_{t}\right|+\sum_{t \in T_{2}}\left|B_{t}\right| \\
& \geq \sum_{t \in T_{1}}\left(\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|-1\right)+\sum_{t \in T_{2}}\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right| \\
& =\sum_{t \in T}\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\right|-\left|T_{1}\right| \\
& =\left|\mathcal{F}^{*}\right|-\left|T_{1}\right| \\
& =n+o(n) \quad(\text { by Lemma } 5 \text { and }(10)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this together with (4), Lemma 2 follows. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.
Now the conclusion of Theorem 5 follows in Case 2 as well from (2), (3) and Lemma 2.

## 4. Proofs of Theorems 3 and 4

Concerning Theorem 5, note that the same conclusion holds even if we construct the red point set by taking $n$ red points at regular intervals, on each $\operatorname{arc} p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq k$, of the unit circle in which the regular $k$-gon $P_{0}$ is inscribed (recall the construction of $R(k, \varepsilon, n)$ stated in Section 3.1). The point set we obtain in this way is in convex position, and hence Theorem 3 holds.

We can construct another red point set with the desired property by placing $n$ red points at regular intervals, around consecutive $k$ vertices of a regular $k^{\prime}$-gon, $k^{\prime}>k$, as shown in Fig. 13 (all the points lie on a circle). Let $R_{k^{\prime}}(k, \varepsilon, n)$ denote a point set obtained in this way. We now prove Theorem 4. First choose $n$, and $k$ sufficiently large with respect to $n$, and $K$ sufficiently large with respect to $k n$, and construct $R(K, \varepsilon, k n)$ for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small. To obtain the final point set, we replace each point set $\left\{r_{i, 1}, r_{i, 2}, \ldots, r_{i, k n}\right\}$ by a copy of $R_{k^{\prime}}\left(k, \varepsilon^{\prime}, n\right)$ as shown in Fig. 14, where $k^{\prime}$ is a sufficiently large number with respect to $K$, so that Lemma 1 can be applied. Denote by $R^{*}$ the red point set we obtain in this way. Then we have $\left|R^{*}\right|=K k n$ and if we let $m=k n$ and $M=K k n(=K m)$,

$$
\beta\left(R^{*}\right)=K(m+o(m))+(M+o(M))=2 M+o(M)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{k}{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{K}{k n} \rightarrow \infty$ (though not all pairs of adjacent points of $R_{k^{\prime}}\left(k, \varepsilon^{\prime}, n\right)$ are at regular intervals), and hence Theorem 4 holds.
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Fig. 13. Red point set $R^{\prime}$ with $\left|R^{\prime}\right|=k n$.
$k n$ red points
$\xrightarrow{\sim}$

$k n$ red points

Fig. 14. Red point set $R^{*}$ with $\left|R^{*}\right|=K k n$.
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