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## 1. Introduction.

Given a collection F of convex sets, an element $\mathrm{A} \square \mathrm{F}$ and a subcollection S of F ; we say that a line $L$ separates $A$ from $S$ if $A$ is contained in one of the closed halfplanes defined by $L$, while every set in S is contained in the complementary closed halfplane.

In [4], H. Tverberg proves that for any positive integer $k$, there is a minimum integer $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{k})$ such that in any family F of N disjoint convex plane sets, there is one that can be separated from a subfamily of $F$ with at least $k$ sets; he shows that $N(k)$ is bounded from above by $R(k)+k-1$, where $R(k)$ is a Ramsey number. In this article we prove that $N(k)$ is at most $12 k$.

We also show that for any collection $F$ of $n$ disjoint circles in $R^{2}$, there is a line $L$ that separates a circle in F from a subcollection of F with at least $\quad \mathrm{h} / 4 \square \square 1$ circles. We produce configurations $H_{n}$ and $G_{n}$, with $n$ and $2 n$ circles, respectively; such that no pair of circles in $H_{n}$ can be simultaneously separated from any set with more than one circle of $H_{n}$; and no circle in $G_{n}$ can be separated from any subset of $G_{n}$ with more than $n$ circles.

In section 4 we present a set $J_{m}$ with $3 m$ line segments in $R^{2}$, such that no segment in $J_{m}$ can be separated from a subset of $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$ with more than $\mathrm{m}+1$ elements. This disproves a conjecture by N. Alon, M. Katchalski and W.R. Pulleyblank presented in [1]. Finally, we show that if F is a set of $n$ disjoint line segments in the plane such that they can be extended to be disjoint semilines, then there is a straight line $L$ that separates one of the segments from a subset of $F$ with at least $\quad \square / 3 \square+1$ elements.

## 2. Separating Convex Sets on the Plane.

In this section we deal with collections of disjoint, but otherwise arbitrary, convex sets on the plane. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. For any collection F of n disjoint convex sets on the plane, there is a line L that separates an element $\mathrm{A} \square \mathrm{F}$ from a subcollection of F with at least $\left\lceil\mathbf{h} / 12 \square\right.$ sets . ( ${ }^{(*)}$ )

For the proof of theorem 1 we need two lemmas. The first lemma was proved implicitly in [2] and [5].

Lemma 1. For any family $F$ of $n$ disjoint convex sets on the plane there is a partitioning $\pi$ of the plane using line segments or semilines $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}$, with $\mathrm{k} \leq 3 n-6$, and such that every element in F lies on a different face of $\pi$ and every element $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $\pi$ lies on the boundary of exactly two faces of $\pi$ containing elements of F ; see figure 1 .

For any line segment or semiline $e$, let us denote by $L(e)$ the line containing $e$. The next lemma is given without a proof. Let $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ denote the binomial coefficient.

Lemma 2. Let P and Q be two disjoint convex plane polygons. Then there is an edge e of P or Q such that $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{e})$ separates P from Q .

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $F$ be a family of $n$ disjoint convex sets and let $\pi$ be as in lemma 1. For every element $S_{i} \square F$ let $P_{i}$ be the face of $\pi$ containing $S_{i}$.

Construct a bipartite graph $G(F, \pi)$ with one vertex $v(m)$ for every line segment $R_{m}$ of $\pi$, $\mathrm{m}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{k} \leq 3 \mathrm{n}-6$; and one vertex $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{i})$ for every set $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in F . A vertex $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ is adjacent to a vertex $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{i})$ if the line $L\left(R_{m}\right)$ does not intersect the interior $\operatorname{int}\left(S_{i}\right)$ of $S_{i}$.

Let us bound the number of edges in $G(F, \pi)$ : consider any pair of elements $S_{i}, S_{j}$ in $F$, and the polygonal faces $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$ of $\pi$ containing them. If $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$ are disjoint, by Lemma 2, there is an edge $R_{m}$, say of $P_{i}$ such that $L\left(R_{m}\right)$ separates $P_{i}$ from $P_{j}$, then $L\left(R_{m}\right)$ does not intersect $\operatorname{Int}\left(P_{j}\right)$ and $v(m)$ is adjacent to $s(j)$ in $G(F, \pi)$. When $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$ share an edge $R_{m}$ of $\pi$ then $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ separates $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}$; in particular $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ does not intersect $\operatorname{Int}\left(\mathrm{P}_{l}\right)$, where $l=\min \{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}\}$, and $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m})$ is adjacent to $\mathrm{s}(l)$ in $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{F}, \pi)$. None of these edges is counted more than once, therefore $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{F}, \pi)$ contains at least $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, 2)$ edges.

By Lemma 1, any element $R_{m}$ of $\pi$ is in the boundary of two faces, say $P_{m+}$ and $P_{m-}$, of $\pi$, containing elements of $F$ denoted by $S_{m+}$ and $S_{m-}$, respectively. Since $\pi$ has $k$ segments or semilines, $k \leq 3 n-6$, then there is a vertex $v(m)$ of $G(F, \pi)$ with degree at least $C(n, 2) / k \geq C(n, 2)$ / $3 n-6>n / 6$. This implies that $L\left(R_{m}\right)$ does not intersect at least $\square n / 6 \square$ elements of $F$. In the worst
case, half of them lie on one side of $L\left(R_{m}\right)$ and the remaining on the opposite side. In any case, $L\left(R_{m}\right)$ separates either $S_{m+}$ or $S_{m-}$ from at least $\square / 12 \square$ elements of $F$.


Figure 1

## 3. Separating Circles.

This section is devoted to the case where the convex sets are circles. In [1], N. Alon, M. Katchalski and W.R. Pulleyblank proved that there is a constant $\mathrm{c}>0$ such that for any family F
 closed half semiplane defined by L . When the circles are allowed to have arbitrary radii the situation is entirely different.

We describe now a configuration $H_{n}$ of $n$ circles in which no pair $C_{i}, C_{j}$ of circles in $H_{n}$ can be simultaneously separated by one line $L$ from any other pair $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{C}_{l}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Let $\mathrm{S}_{1}>\mathrm{S}_{2}>\ldots>$ $S_{n}$ be $n$ different slopes such that $0 \leq S_{i} \leq \square$ with $\square$ small enough. Let $H_{n}$ consist of $n$ circles defined recursively as follows:
a) $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is any circle in $\mathrm{R}^{2}$.
b) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ is a circle tangent to $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ such that the slope of the line that separates them is $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
c) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ is large enough such that any line $L$ separating $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}+1}, 1 \leq \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{i}+1$ has slope $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{L})$ contained in the interval $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}-\square, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}+\square\right), \square>0$, $\square$ much smaller than $\square$ Observe that $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{L})$ is contained in the interval $(-\square \square+\square)$ since $0 \leq S_{i} \leq \square$.

Moreover, if $\square$ is small enough, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ can be chosen such that:
d) Any line separating $C_{j}$ from $C_{i}, 1 \leq j<i$, intersects $C_{i+1}$.

It follows that there are no different pairs of circles $\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{C}_{l}\right\}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$, such that there is a line separating $\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$ from $\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{C}_{l}\right\}$. For let us assume that i is the smallest of $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}$
and $l$ and that $\mathrm{k}<l$. It now follows from (d) that any line separating $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ must intersect $\mathrm{C}_{l}$. Notice that in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be separated from $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$, and that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ can not be separated from any pair $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{C}_{l}, \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{k}<l$.

For any family of disjoint plane circles we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. In any family F of n disjoint circles, there is one that can be separated from a subfamily of F with at least $\lceil/ 4 \square-1$ circles.

The following lemma will be used in the proof; the reader may wish to verify it.

Lemma 3. Let $C_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots . C_{m}$ be $m$ disjoint circles not containing the origin. Assume all of them intersect the x and y -axes and all of their centers are in the same quadrant, say the positive quadrant. If they intersect the axes in increasing order $C_{1}{ }^{\prime}, C_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{m}{ }^{\prime}$, then any line separating $C_{m}$ from $C_{m-}$ $1^{\prime}$ also separates $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ' from each $\mathrm{Cj}^{\prime}$, with $1 \leq \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{m}$.

Proof of theorem 2. Start by sweeping a line $\mathrm{L}_{1}$, from left to right and parallel to the y -axis, until one circle of $F$, say $C_{1}$, is left to the left of $L_{1}$. Then sweep a line $L_{2}$, from bottom to top and parallel to the $x$-axis, until one circle, say $C_{2}$, is left below $L_{2}$.

If there are at least $n_{1} \geq\left\lceil 1 / 4 \square-1\right.$ circles to the right of $L_{1}$ or $n_{2} \geq\left\lceil n / 4 \square-1\right.$ circles above $L_{2}$, the result holds. Suppose then that $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ are both smaller than $\lceil\mathrm{n} / 4 \square-1$. Then there is a subset $H$ of $F$ with $n-\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)$ circles that intersect both of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, and at most one of them, say $C_{3}$, contains the intersection point of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$.

Consider $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ as the coordinate axes, and divide $\mathrm{H} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{3}\right\}$ into four subsets as follows: each one of the four quadrants $q_{i}$ of the plane defines a subset $S_{i}$ of $\mathrm{H} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{3}\right\}$ consisting of all of the elements of $\mathrm{H} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{3}\right\}$ with center in $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1, . .4$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that the union of the subsets $S_{1}=\left\{C_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{j} '\right\}$ and $S_{2}=\left\{C_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, C_{k}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, corresponding to the first and second quadrants contain at least half of the elements of $\mathrm{H} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{3}\right\}$. Assume that the elements of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and the elements in $S_{2}$ intersect the $y$-axis in increasing order $C_{1}{ }^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{j}^{\prime}$ and $C_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}, C_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, C_{k}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. Let $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ be any line separating $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}-1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ be any line separating $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}-1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. By observation $1, \mathrm{M}_{1}$ separates $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}$ from $\mathrm{S}_{1} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}\right.$ \} and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ separates $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ from
$\mathrm{S}_{1} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. It is easy to verify that either $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ separates $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\prime}$ from $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\prime}\right\}\right) \square\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}\right.\right.$ "\}) or $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ separates $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ " from $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right\}\right) \square\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} "\right\}\right)$ and that $\square\left(\mathrm{S}_{1} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\prime}\right\}\right) \square\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \backslash\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} "\right\}\right) \square \geq \square / 4 \square 1$.

We now construct a family $G_{n}$ of $2 n$ circles in which no circle in it can be separated from more that $n$ circles in $G_{n}$. To construct the family $G_{n}$ let us take a copy $H_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left\{C_{1}{ }^{\prime}, C_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ of the configuration $H_{n}$ as follows: reflect $H_{n}$ along the x -axis and translate it in the north-west direction until all the lines separating $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ intersect only $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ and all lines separating $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\prime}$ intersect only $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$, see figure 2 .


Figure 2

Any line separating two elements $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$ leaves at most $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on one side and $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}$ on the other; similarly for any line separating two elements in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$. Then $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is a configuration with $2 n$ circles and none of them can be separated from any set of circles in $G_{n}$ with more than n circles.

## 4. Separating Line Segments.

In [1], the following conjecture is presented: for any collection $F$ of $n$ disjoint line segments on the plane, there is an element $S$ of $F$ that can be separated from close to $n / 2$ elements of F . In this section we disprove the conjecture by producing a family $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of 3 m line segments such that no element of $J_{m}$ can be separated from more than $m+1$ elements of $J_{m}$.

To describe $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$ we use a configuration due to K.P. Villanger, see [4]. He constructs a family $T$ of $m$ line segments $L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{m}$ with the property that for each $k=3, \ldots, m ; L_{k}$
intersects the convex closure of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}} \square \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{j}}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{k}$ and therefore $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ cannot be separated by a line from $\left\{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$, see figure 3 .


Figure 3

His construction may be reproduced in such a way that $\mathrm{L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ have slopes $0=\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)<\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)<\ldots<\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\square<\pi / 2$, respectively; and such that for $\mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$, the left endpoint of $L_{i+1}$ lies in an interior point of $L_{i}$ within distance $\square$ of the left endpoint of $L_{1}$.

Our example is a set $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of 3 m line segments consisting of three copies $\mathrm{T}_{0}=\left\{\mathrm{L}_{0,1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}_{0, k}\right\}$, $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{L}_{1,1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}_{1, \mathrm{k}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{L}_{2,1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}_{2, \mathrm{k}}\right\}$ of T placed around a triangle Q with vertices $\mathrm{v}_{0}, \mathrm{v}_{1}$, $v_{2}$. The values of $\square$ and $\square$ are chosen in such a way that any element of $T_{i}$, when extended to be a whole line, intersects all the elements of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$; addition taken $\bmod 2$.

Theorem 3. There is no element in $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$ that can be separated from more than $\mathrm{m}+1$ elements in $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$.

Proof. No element of $T_{i}$ can be simultaneously separated by a single line from two elements of $J_{m}$, one in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ and the other in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}+2}$; addition taken mod 2. The result follows from the properties of T.

Let us consider the case where the segments in F can be extended to semilines so that they remain pairwise disjoint.

Theorem 4. Let $\mathrm{F}=\left\{\mathrm{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a family of n disjoint line segments, $\mathrm{n} \geq 4$. If they can be extended to form a collection of disjoint semilines, then there is a line L that separates an element $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $F$ from a subset of $F$ with at least $[\eta / 3 \square+1$ elements.

Proof. If there is an element $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of F that can be extended to a whole line without intersecting any other element of F , then $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be separated from a subfamily of F with at least $\quad[\mathrm{n}-1) / 2 \square$ elements of F . Suppose then that the line containing each $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ intersects at least another element $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of F . Extend the elements of $F$ as much as possible until a family $F^{\prime}=\left\{L^{\prime} 1, \ldots, L_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ of semilines is obtained such that:

1) The end point of every element of $F^{\prime}$ lies on an interior point of another element of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$.
2) No two elements of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ cross each other.

We say that $L_{i}^{\prime}$ hits $L_{j}^{\prime}$ if the end point of $L_{i}^{\prime}$ lies on $L_{j}^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that in $F^{\prime}$ there is a cyclic sequence of elements, say $L^{\prime} 1, \ldots, L^{\prime} j, j \leq n$ such that $L_{i+1}^{\prime}$ hits $L_{i}^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, j-1$, and $L_{1}^{\prime}$ hits $L_{j}^{\prime}$.

For the case when $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{n}$ we can easily show that there is an element of F separable from a set with at least $\quad \mathrm{n} / 2 \square$ elements of $F$; in the remainder of this section we will assume that $\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{n}$.

For every $\mathrm{i}=2, \ldots, \mathrm{j}$ let $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ be the subset of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ consisting of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ together with all the elements of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ contained in the region bounded by $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$, and let $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ be the subset of $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ consisting of $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and all elements of $F^{\prime}$ contained in the region bounded by $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{j}^{\prime}$. Let $i$ be the smallest index such that the line L containing $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ intersects $\mathrm{L}^{\prime} \mathrm{i}$. Then it is easy to see that the set $\mathrm{A}=$ $S_{2} \square \ldots \square S_{i-1}$ is separable from $L_{1}$. It is also easy to see that $B=S_{i}$ is separable from $L_{i-1}$ and that $C=S_{i+1} \square \ldots \square S_{j} \square S_{1}$ may be separated from $L_{i}$.

However, since $A \square B \square C=F^{\prime}$, at least one of them has $\square n / 3 \square$ elements; moreover if not all their cardinalities are the same, then at least one of them has $\lceil/ 3 \square+1$ elements and the result is proved. Assume then that A, B and C have the same cardinality. Since $j<n$, then at least one of the sets $S_{i}$, without loss of generality say $S_{1}$, contains more than one element $L_{a}^{\prime} \square S_{1}, L_{a}^{\prime} \neq L_{1}{ }_{1}$. It is now easy to see that $L_{a}$ is separable from $\mathrm{A} \square\left\{\mathrm{L}_{1}\right\}$.

The segments in the example $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$ may be extended to semilines in such a way that they remain pairwise disjoint. This shows that the bound in theorem 4 is tight.

## 4. Triangles and Rectangles

Similar results to the ones presented here for families of rectangles, triangles, etc. can also be obtained. We list some results that are easy to obtain using sweeping line arguments. No proofs will br given.

Theorem 4. In any family of $n$ isothetic rectangles, it is always possible to separate one rectangle from $\square \mathrm{Zn} / 3 \square 1$. Moreover, in this case we can always separate $\square \mathrm{h} / 4 \square$ rectangles from $\square \mathrm{h} / 4 \square$ These bounds are tight.

Theorem 5. Given any family of $n$ disjoint homotetic triangles, there is one that can be separated from at least $3 \mathrm{n} / 5 \pm \mathrm{c}$ triangles. There are some families with 3 m triangles in which we cannot separate any triangle from more than 2 m triangles.

## 5. Conclusions

We believe that the lower bound of $\square / 12 \square$ sets given in theorem 1 is far from optimal; the best upper bound we know is $\left\lceil n / 3 \square+1\right.$, given by the example $J_{n}$ in the previous section. For the case of circles we think that the $\lceil\mathrm{h} / 4 \square \square 1$ lower bound given in theorem 2 should be improved to something close to $n / 2$. We believe that in any family $F$ of $n$ disjoint line segments there is one that can be separated from considerably more than $\lceil(n-1) / 4 \square$ perhaps from close to $n / 3$ segments. The lower bound in Theorem 5 for homothetic triangles is not tight, we believe that the correct lower bound is close to $2 n / 3$.
(**) Theorem 1 was independently proved by K. Hope and M. Katchalsky [3]
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